

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/597,863	04/23/2007	Rajeev Y. Nagar	YAMAP1014US	3801
51921 7590 08/17/2010 MARK D. SARALINO (PAN)			EXAM	IINER
RENNER, OTTO, BOISSELLE & SKLAR, LLP 1621 EUCLID AVENUE 19TH FLOOR		ILUYOMADE	ILUYOMADE, IFEDAYO B	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CLEVELAND			2627	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/17/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/597,863	NAGAR ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
IFEDAYO ILUYOMADE	2627	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

	earned patent term adjustment.	366 37 CFK 1.704(b).	
Ctat.			

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of times may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.33(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statistory period with apply and will expire SIX (s) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the ast or extended period for reply with the state of extended period for exply with the state of extended period for explicit
Status
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>23 April 2007</u> .
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.
6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 10 August 2006 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
Attachment(s)
M No. 12 (12 (12 (12 (12 (12 (12 (12 (12 (12

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Nation of Doubles and Detail Detail Devices Devices (BTO 048)

 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Information Disclosure Statement(c) (FTO/SS/CC) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 08/10/2006, 02/11/2007.

 Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.
 Notice of Informal Patent Application. 6) Other: __

Art Unit: 2627

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

 The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 02/11/2009 was filed after the mailing date of the application on 04/23/2007. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it contains reference numbers. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention lacks patentable utility. The claim is drawn to a "program", therefore, fails to fall within a statutory category of invention.

A claim directed to a computer program itself is non-statutory because it is not:

A process occurring as a result of executing the program, or

A machine programmed to operate in accordance with the program, or

A manufacture structurally and functionally interconnected with the program in a manner which enable the program to act as a computer component and realize its functionality, or

A composition of matter.

Art Unit: 2627

See MPEP 2106.01. Data structures not claimed as embodied in computer readable media are descriptive material *per se* and are not statutory because they are not capable of causing functional change in the computer. See, e.g., *Warmerdam*, 33 F.3d at 1361, 31 USPQ2d at 1760 (claim to a data structure per se held nonstatutory). Such claimed data structures do not define any structural and functional interrelationships between the data structure and other claimed aspects of the invention, which permit the data structure's functionality to be realized. In contrast, a claimed computer readable medium encoded with a data structure defines structural and functional interrelationships between the data structure and the computer software and hardware components which permit the data structure's functionality to be realized, and is thus statutory.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary sik lin the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1, 6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Williams (US Patent No. 4953122) in view of Demsey et al (US Pub. 20040054994).
- 6. Regarding claim 1 and 8, Williams discloses:

Art Unit: 2627

 Receiving a write request which specifies at least data for a file to be written, (refer to fig. 4 and column 11, lines 54. Describes a system receiving a write command of host data).

- Querying a next writable address indicating a location at which data is to be
 written next to the drive apparatus, so as to obtain the next writable address,
 (refer to fig. 4 and column 11, lines 55. Describes a controller first locates the
 next sequential free data storage segment on disk that is not flawed).
- Instructing the drive apparatus to write the data specified by the write request to
 a location indicated by the next writable address in the write-once disc, (refer to
 fig. 4 and column 11, lines 57. Describes that the host data is then written to this
 data storage segment along with the logical address of the host data).

Williams lack:

- Instructing the drive apparatus to read metadata for managing the file from a location in the write-once disc, so as to obtain the metadata.
- Updating the metadata to reflect the writing of the data specified by the write request.
- Instructing the drive apparatus to write at least a part of the updated metadata to the location from which the metadata is read in the step (b) in the write-once disc.

Demsey teaches:

The metadata map associated with the managed program is updated using the
physical address at which the native code was written. If the method involves
several writes of the native code cache to the non-volatile memory, the metadata

Art Unit: 2627

map may not be updated each time. Rather, the metadata map may be updated just once, such as on the first or last write to the non-volatile memory. It is important that the metadata map is updated at some time with the physical address at which the native code can be executed, (refer to paragraph 42). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention

Page 5

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine reading, updating, and storing of metadata as taught by Demsey with that of Williams in order to execute the requested method associated with the manage program using the physical address.

9. Regarding claim 6, Williams discloses:

- The system controller comprising a controller for controlling the drive apparatus, (refer to fig. 1 and column 5, line 1. Describes a controller controls an optical head having writing means).
- Receiving a write request which specifies at least data for a file to be written, (refer to fig. 4 and column 11, lines 54. Describes a system receiving a write command of host data).
- Querying a next writable address indicating a location at which data is to be
 written next to the drive apparatus, so as to obtain the next writable address,
 (refer to fig. 4 and column 11, lines 55. Describes a controller first locates the
 next sequential free data storage segment on disk that is not flawed).
- Instructing the drive apparatus to write the data specified by the write request to
 a location indicated by the next writable address in the write-once disc, (refer to

Art Unit: 2627

fig. 4 and column 11, lines 57. Describes that the host data is then written to this data storage segment along with the logical address of the host data).

Page 6

10. Williams lack:

- Instructing the drive apparatus to read metadata for managing the file from a location in the write-once disc, so as to obtain the metadata.
- Updating the metadata to reflect the writing of the data specified by the write request.
- Instructing the drive apparatus to write at least a part of the updated metadata to the location from which the metadata is read in the step (b) in the write-once disc.

Demsey teaches:

• The metadata map associated with the managed program is updated using the physical address at which the native code was written. If the method involves several writes of the native code cache to the non-volatile memory, the metadata map may not be updated each time. Rather, the metadata map may be updated just once, such as on the first or last write to the non-volatile memory. It is important that the metadata map is updated at some time with the physical address at which the native code can be executed, (refer to paragraph 42).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine reading, updating, and storing of metadata as taught by Demsey with that of Williams in order to execute the requested method associated with the manage program using the physical address.

Art Unit: 2627

12. Claims 2 - 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over

Williams (US Patent No. 4953122) in views of Demsey et al (US Pub. 20040054994).

Williams lacks:

 Regarding claim 2, wherein the steps (e) and (f) are performed using the same write instruction

 Regarding claim 3, wherein the step (f) is performed after the step (e) is performed.

However Williams discloses:

The write transaction is then written to the mapping segment buffer to update the
write transaction history stored therein for later recording on the disk at the next
mapping segment. The logical address is also used to update the pointer map
memory, (refer to column 11, lines 59).

14. Demsey teaches:

• The metadata map associated with the managed program is updated using the physical address at which the native code was written. If the method involves several writes of the native code cache to the non-volatile memory, the metadata map may not be updated each time. Rather, the metadata map may be updated just once, such as on the first or last write to the non-volatile memory. It is important that the metadata map is updated at some time with the physical address at which the native code can be executed, (refer to paragraph 42).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine reading, updating, and storing of metadata as taught by Demsey

Art Unit: 2627

with that of Williams in order to execute the requested method associated with the manage program using the physical address.

Williams lacks:

- Regarding claim 4, wherein the updated metadata includes a file entry of a directory under which the file is recorded.
- Regarding claim 5, wherein the updated metadata includes a file entry of the file.

16. Demsey teaches:

• The metadata map associated with the managed program is updated using the physical address at which the native code was written. If the method involves several writes of the native code cache to the non-volatile memory, the metadata map may not be updated each time. Rather, the metadata map may be updated just once, such as on the first or last write to the non-volatile memory. It is important that the metadata map is updated at some time with the physical address at which the native code can be executed, (refer to paragraph 42).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine reading, updating, and storing of metadata as taught by Demsey with that of Williams in order to execute the requested method associated with the manage program using the physical address.

Double Patenting

17. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent

Art Unit: 2627

and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Omum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

18. Claims 1, 6, 7, and 8 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 5, 6, and 7 of Buban et al (copending Application No. 10597875).

Art Unit: 2627

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented. The claims are as follows with the difference highlighted.

10597863	10597875
With reference to claim 1: the recording	With reference to claim 1: the recording
method comprising the steps of: (a)	method comprising the steps of:(a)
receiving a write request which specifies at	receiving a write request which specifies at
least data for a file to be written; (b)	least data for a file to be written;(b)
instructing the drive apparatus to read	instructing the drive apparatus to read a
metadata for managing the file from a	file entry of a metadata file which contains
location in the write-once disc, so as to	metadata for managing the file from a
obtain the metadata; (c) querying a next	location of the write-once disc, so as to
writable address indicating a location at	obtain the file entry of the metadata file;(c)
which data is to be written next to the drive	obtaining track information indicating a
apparatus, so as to obtain the next	location of each of the plurality of
writable address; (d) updating the	tracks;(d) determining a track from the
metadata to reflect the writing of the data	plurality of tracks in which metadata is to
specified by the write request; (e)	be written next, based on the file entry of
instructing the drive apparatus to write the	the metadata file and the track
data specified by the write request to a	information;(e) instructing the drive
location indicated by the next writable	apparatus to read the metadata from a
address in the write-once disc; and (f)	location of the write-once disc, so as to

Art Unit: 2627

instructing the drive apparatus to write at least a part of the updated metadata to the location from which the metadata is read in the step (b) in the write-once disc.

obtain the metadata:(f) obtaining a next writable address indicating a location at which data is to be written next within a track other than the track determined in the step (d), the track being selected from the plurality of tracks;(g) updating the metadata to reflect the writing of the data specified by the write request:(h) instructing the drive apparatus to write the data specified by the write request to a location indicated by the next writable address in the write-once disc; and(i) instructing the drive apparatus to write at least a part of the updated metadata to the location from which the metadata is read in the step (e) in the write-once disc.

Claim 1 of the present application is anticipated by claim 1 of application 10597875 because it is broader in every respect.

10597863	10597875
With reference to claim 6: the system	With reference to claim 5: the system
controller comprising a controller for	controller comprising a controller for

Art Unit: 2627

controlling the drive apparatus, wherein the controller is configured to perform a process including the steps of: (a) receiving a write request which specifies at least data for a file to be written; (b) instructing the drive apparatus to read metadata for managing the file from a location in the write-once disc, so as to obtain the metadata; (c) querying a next writable address indicating a location at which data is to be written next to the drive apparatus, so as to obtain the next writable address; (d) updating the metadata to reflect the writing of the data specified by the write request; (e) instructing the drive apparatus to write the data specified by the write request to a location indicated by the next writable address in the write-once disc; and (f) instructing the drive apparatus to write at least a part of the updated metadata to the location from which the metadata is read in

controlling the drive apparatus, wherein the controller is configured to perform a process including the steps of:(a) receiving a write request which specifies at least data for a file to be written; (b) instructing the drive apparatus to read a file entry of a metadata file which contains metadata for managing the file from a location of the write-once disc, so as to obtain the file entry of the metadata file;(c) obtaining track information indicating a location of each of the plurality of tracks;(d) determining a track from the plurality of tracks in which metadata is to be written next, based on the file entry of the metadata file and the track information;(e) instructing the drive apparatus to read the metadata from a location of the write-once disc, so as to obtain the metadata;(f) obtaining a next writable address indicating a location at which data is to be written next within a track other than the

Page 13

Application/Control Number: 10/597,863

Art Unit: 2627

the step (b) in the write-once disc.	track determined in the step (d), the track
	being selected from the plurality of
	tracks;(g) updating the metadata to reflect
	the writing of the data specified by the
	write request;(h) instructing the drive
	apparatus to write the data specified by
	the write request to a location indicated by
	the next writable address in the write-once
	disc; and(i) instructing the drive apparatus
	to write at least a part of the updated
	metadata at the location from which the
	metadata is read in the step (e) in the
	write-once disc.

Claim 6 of the present application is anticipated by claim 5 of application 10597875 because it is broader in every respect.

10597863	10597875
With reference to claim 7: wherein the	With reference to claim 6: wherein the
controller includes a semiconductor	controller includes a semiconductor
integrated circuit.	integrated circuit.

Art Unit: 2627

Claim 7 of the present application is anticipated by claim 6 of application 10597875 because it is broader in every respect.

10597875

10597863 With reference to claim 8: wherein the program is configured to perform a process including the steps of: (a) receiving a write request which specifies at least data for a file to be written; (b) instructing the drive apparatus to read metadata for managing the file from a location in the write-once disc, so as to obtain the metadata; (c) querying a next writable address indicating a location at which data is to be written next to the drive apparatus, so as to obtain the next writable address; (d) updating the metadata to reflect the writing of the data specified by the write request; (e) instructing the drive apparatus to write the data specified by the write request to a location indicated by the next writable

With reference to claim 7: wherein the program is configured to perform a process including the steps of:(a) receiving a write request which specifies at least data for a file to be written;(b) instructing the drive apparatus to read a file entry of a metadata file which contains metadata for managing the file from a location of the write-once disc, so as to obtain the file entry of the metadata file;(c) obtaining track information indicating a location of each of the plurality of tracks;(d) determining a track from the plurality of tracks in which metadata is to be written next, based on the file entry of the metadata file and the track information;(e) instructing the drive apparatus to read the metadata from a location of the write-once

Art Unit: 2627

address in the write-once disc; and (f) instructing the drive apparatus to write at least a part of the updated metadata to the location from which the metadata is read in the step (b) in the write-once disc.

disc, so as to obtain the metadata:(f) obtaining a next writable address indicating a location at which data is to be written next within a track other than the track determined in the step (d), the track being selected from the plurality of tracks;(g) updating the metadata to reflect the writing of the data specified by the write request;(h) instructing the drive apparatus to write the data specified by the write request to a location indicated by the next writable address in the write-once disc; and(i) instructing the drive apparatus to write at least a part of the updated metadata at the location from which the metadata is read in the step (e) in the write-once disc.

Claim 8 of the present application is anticipated by claim 7 of application 10597875 because it is broader in every respect.

Art Unit: 2627

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to IFEDAYO ILUYOMADE whose telephone number is (571)270-7118. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Feild can be reached on (571) 272-4090. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/I. I./ Examiner, Art Unit 2627 08/12/2010

/Jason C Olson/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2627